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Iron and steel’s US$ 1.4 trillion shot at decarbonisation
Steel emissions in AET1.5 must decline 93% compared to 2021 to 208Mt

Base case steel emissions to reach 2.2 BtCO$_2$e by 2050; a-third lower than 2021

Under AET1.5 - The onus will be on advanced economies to lead. China, Europe, USA and JKT will pare down more than 95% emissions from current levels by 2050. Developing nations will also have to accelerate efforts to limit emissions. India will need to reduce emissions by 72% even as its steel output grows fastest amongst others.
What changes in AET1.5 compared to base case

Scrap stays rangebound; rise in DRI hurts hot metal; Electrolysis (MOE) is included

Global steel production to grow at an annual rate of 0.5% to reach nearly 2,254Mt by 2050

Decarbonisation efforts must intensify as AET1.5 targets seem far out of reach

Under AET1.5 - EAF share touches 73%. Molten oxide electrolysis (MOE) finds an inclusion. BOF is increasingly abandoned by steelmakers under pressure to decarbonise

Metallics demand will have to evolve under AET1.5 – Scrap pool needs doubling from current levels. DRI production must rise five-fold to touch 550Mt. Hot metal to halve from current levels
Decarbonisation for steel and iron ore need multiple enablers

The scale of the challenge is sobering as diverse ecosystem enablers need to be activated to achieve the targets set under AET1.5

- **Green Hydrogen**: 52 million tonnes (current supply limited)
- **Renewable capacity**: 2,000 GW (Two-thirds of the current renewable capacity*)
- **CCUS**: 470 million tonnes (current capacity limited)
- **DR pellet feed-high grade low impurities ore**: 750 million tonnes (3.5x of current production levels)
- **Scrap**: 1,300 million tonnes (2x of current supply)

* Renewable capacity includes hydro, solar, wind, geo-thermal, bio-energy

Source: Wood Mackenzie
Green Investments

Iron and steel’s US$ 1.4 trillion shot at decarbonisation
Greening steel will entail $1.3-1.4 trillion investments through 2050

Hydrogen DRI & associated ecosystem along with EAF to see highest spend

Steel and value chain – Investments needed under AET 1.5 scenario analysis

Source: Wood Mackenzie
Iron and steel’s US$ 1.4 trillion shot at decarbonisation
Successfully abating carbon by 2050 to cost steelmakers nearly US$100/t

Share of carbon tax is pegged higher upto 2035; OPEX dominates the latter half of the forecast

Carbon abatement will result in an incremental cost for the steel sector. The US$100/t will eventually be added to the production costs by steelmakers. Ultimately, the end consumers will have to pay for decarbonising the steel sector in the form of green premiums.

**How to read the chart:**
The line chart denotes carbon abatement cost for the steel industry. The column chart (in the background) is a bifurcation of the total carbon abatement cost—comprising of CAPEX, OPEX and carbon taxes. For instance, in 2050 the CAPEX share is 5% of US$100/tonne carbon abatement cost which is US$5/tonne.
Corporate Net-zero Targets

Iron and steel’s US$ 1.4 trillion shot at decarbonisation
Corporates quick to react to climate change goals

13 of top 25 steel firms set net zero goals but with limited committed capex disclosure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Steel Emissions Mt</th>
<th>Share of top 4 steelmakers in sector’s emissions</th>
<th>Emission cut targets: top four steelmakers</th>
<th>Capex commitment disclosure by top four firms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>▼ 40%</td>
<td>Arcelor 100% 2030 100% 2050 LibertySteel 100% 2030 ThyssenKrupp 100% 2030 Tata Europe 100% 2030</td>
<td>1 of 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. America</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>▼ 45.5%</td>
<td>Arcelor 100% 2030 25% LibertySteel 100% 2030 ThyssenKrupp 100% 35% Tata Europe 100% 20%</td>
<td>1 of 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JK</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>▼ 90%</td>
<td>Nippon 100% 2030 30% POSCO 100% 20% JFE 100% 50% Hyundai Steel 100% 20%</td>
<td>1 of 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>2056</td>
<td>▼ 50-60%</td>
<td>Baowu 100% 2030 30% HBIS 100% 30% Shagang 100% 30% Maanshan 100% 30%</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>226</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tata 30% SAIL 25% JSW 20% JSPL 10% Melinvest 5% Novolipetsk Steel 2% Evraz Group 1%</td>
<td>1 of 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Company Climate Change reports, Wood Mackenzie
Lowering emission intensity must be the top priority of steelmakers.

Green energy and Scrap-EAF best bet in near term; H-DRI, electrolysis and CCUS - long term uncertainties

Strategy for steelmakers to decarbonise

- Resource efficiency: high-ore, strong coke, superior scrap
- Energy efficiency: WHRS*, TPR**, coke dry quenching

- Green energy
  - Switch to Scrap-EAF

- Biomass-injections in blast furnace

- Hydrogen-DRI

- CO₂/CCUS
  - Injections in blast furnace
  - Molten Oxide Electrolysis

- New blast furnace technology

- Carbon offset (CCUs)
  - CO₂

---

1. Operational efficiency
   - Implementing resource and energy efficiency measures can help abate 7-8% emissions

2. Green energy & Scrap-EAF
   - Renewable energy is unquestionably the way forward; Scrap-EAF, least carbon intensive route, is a natural alternative for steelmakers

3. Hydrogen-DRI & MOE
   - New technologies can become a near-zero emission route; commercial viability - a concern

4. New blast furnace technology
   - Biomass/hydrogen injections in BF can reduce emission intensity by 15-25%. Currently in testing stages

5. Carbon offset (CCUs)
   - Carbon offset measures like CCUS for unabated emissions will be imperative to meet AET targets

---

Source: Wood Mackenzie
Majors set intermediate and net zero targets for operational emissions

Allocate around 10% of their annual capital budgets towards creating zero carbon mines

Top 5 miners set emission cut targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Miner</th>
<th>Total Emissions</th>
<th>Emission Cuts</th>
<th>Capex allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rio Tinto</td>
<td>32 Mt</td>
<td>50% - 100%</td>
<td>0% - 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vale</td>
<td>11 Mt</td>
<td>33% - 100%</td>
<td>0% - 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMG</td>
<td>2 Mt</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglo American</td>
<td>16 Mt</td>
<td>30% - 100%</td>
<td>0% - 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHP Iron Ore</td>
<td>16 Mt</td>
<td>30% - 100%</td>
<td>0% - 10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Top four miners allocate US$23-24 bn for 30% emission cuts through 2030 (across business)

- **Rio Tinto**
  - Initial year for target: 2015
  - Mid term target for 2030: 50%
  - Net zero target: >20%

- **Vale**
  - Initial year for target: 2015
  - Mid term target for 2030: 33%
  - Net zero target: 100%

- **FMG**
  - Initial year for target: 2015
  - Mid term target for 2030: 100%
  - Net zero target: >20%

- **BHP Iron Ore**
  - Initial year for target: 2015
  - Mid term target for 2030: 30%
  - Net zero target: 100%

Source: Company Climate Change reports, Wood Mackenzie

Note: Aforementioned analysis for scope 1 and 2 only; mining emissions include all business units (iron ore, coal, aluminium, etc.). FMG and Anglo have not explicitly announced any capex for the targets set by them in their climate change reports.
No silver bullet for miners to decarbonise their emissions

Green energy and efficiency at mine sites will be key carbon abatement tools in medium term

### Strategic measures for miners to decarbonise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Capex Intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Operational efficiency</td>
<td>Blending bio-fuels, process improvements, technology improvements, recycling materials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Green energy</td>
<td>Investments in renewable electricity and battery storage; signing PPAs with solar/wind farms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Mine electrification</td>
<td>Electrification of mining fleet to reduce emissions and enhance safety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Trucking innovation (H2 fuel cell)</td>
<td>Hydrogen fuel cell run haulage trucks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Carbon offset (CCUs)</td>
<td>Carbon offset measures like CCUS for unabated emissions (but will come with the risk of “greenwashing” label</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Wood Mackenzie
Iron and steel’s US$ 1.4 trillion shot at decarbonisation

Impact on Raw Materials – Iron ore
Iron ore demand to decline; pellet market to gain traction

Scrap displacement higher in AET 1.5 hurting iron ore demand more over base case

Global iron ore consumption is around 240 Mt lower than our base case forecast by 2050 led by scrap displacement which picks pace from mid 2030s.

- DRI will benefit the most during steel's decarbonisation pathway
- Commercial adoption of hydrogen based DRI route which will account for a third of total production by 2050
- This shall augur well for DR pellet and pellet feed market which will rise by 5.4x in AET 1.5 (over 2021)

Source: Wood Mackenzie
4.2x of current DR pellet capacity needed to feed green steel under AET 1.5

World will need 630 Mt of new DR pellet capacity under AET 1.5

223 Mt of incremental DR pellet capacities will be added in our base case scenario

AET 1.5 will warrant nearly 634 Mt of new capacity additions over the next three decades (i.e. 4.2x of current capacity base)

Source: Wood Mackenzie, Company reports
Key takeaways

• Geopolitical fragmentation and global stagflation risks to impact markets in near term; to upend decarbonisation drive in long term

• No silver bullet for steelmakers to decarbonise – alternate raw materials (H₂, scrap) and carbon management / CCUs to play critical role

• Green pathway to cost $100/t for steel

• Investments in high grade ore pivotal to feed green steel as its demand quintuples by 2050

• Miners to invest (high grade mines), divest (existing inefficient mines), and forward integrate (DRI/HBI)

• Greenwashing and external support - Collective action needed from corporates, government, policy institutions, and citizens
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